every drawing has been produced on a CAD system except for the perimeter ring **29** of Figure 15 and a few components shown in Figure 19.

The Office Action does not allege that any of the numbers referenced as provided by the applicant incorrectly correspond to structure shown in <u>any</u> of the figures. It is extremely difficult for the applicant to understand how this specification and figures could be found not to provide an appropriate disclosure under 35 USC § 112. The applicant would be happy to provide photographs or even an actual mechanism from production runs, if that would help the Office understand its operation. Furthermore, the applicant would be more than happy to address any specific issue as it relates to the functionality when and if they arise.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 104 26, 2006

Stephen Stark

Attorney for Applicant

MILLER & MARTIN PLLC Suite 1000 Volunteer Building

832 Georgia Avenue

Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402

(423) 756-6600